




LATEST NEWS & ARTICLES

- 


Enormous U.S. Military Spending, EU Dragged into Abyss of War against Russia. Italy Out of the War!

May 3, 2022 0
- 


Ukraine's Army Is in Very Bad Shape - More Fighting Will Only Destroy It

May 3, 2022 0
- 


Canada Moves Forward with Plans to Implement Digital IDs

May 3, 2022 1
- 


Call To Action: The World Health Organization Is Taking Away National Sovereignty

May 2, 2022 0
- 


The Revolt of Italian Generals: "It's Not Our War, Let's Say NO to the USA"

May 2, 2022 6
- 


Poland Reportedly Interested in Annexing Part of Ukrainian Territories

May 2, 2022 0
- 


Ukraine Sitrep April 30 - Azovstal Resolving, Ukraine Military Losing Manpower and Morale

May 1, 2022 3
- 

Macron Launches Digital ID in France After Re-election, Raises Fears of Social Credit System

May 1, 2022 0
- 

43,898 DEAD, 4 Million+ Injured Following COVID-19 Vaccines in European Database

May 1, 2022 0
- 

Macron's First Defeat Against the Yellow Vests: State Gets Fined 1.2 Million Euros

April 30, 2022 0

Home > COMMENTARY > Italian Court Rules Mandatory Vaccination Unconstitutional, 'Fatal Side Effects' Too Risky [VIDEO]

Italian Court Rules Mandatory Vaccination Unconstitutional, 'Fatal Side Effects' Too Risky [VIDEO]

April 30, 2022 TLB Staff COMMENTARY, GOVERNMENT, HEALTH, Tyranny 0



ER Editor: Kudos to the **Rair Foundation** for bringing this information to light. We remind readers that only 1-10% (probably closer to 1%) of adverse events, including death, are ever reported as a result of all vaccinations. This means that all statistics should be multiplied by 100 times (or at least 10 times). Eudravigilance stats are cited below and should be viewed as exceptionally low-ball figures.

Italian Court Rules Mandatory Vaccination Unconstitutional, 'Fatal Side Effects' too Risky (Video)

AMY MEK for RAIR FOUNDATION



“Who would determine the percentage of disposable citizens? It is indisputable. Otherwise, it results in Nazism.”

Sicily's Court of Administrative Justice has **ruled** that Italy's mandatory Covid vaccination obligation is **unconstitutional**. The court stated that the experimental mRNA treatments intended to protect the public from Covid have been shown to cause “serious or fatal adverse effects.” The court explains that even if such fatalities are rare, a **single death** is enough to render the mandate unconstitutional.

The Sicilian ruling passes the decision to the Constitutional Court, which will now have to rule on the issue. Watch the following video report by Italian lawyer Marco Mori on the groundbreaking ruling:



Video Transcript

'I have good, excellent news. Let's wait for more people to connect, and we are ready to start. All right, this is a very important ruling. It is the ruling made by the Court of Administrative Justice of Sicily. The Court has forwarded the case to the Constitutional Court, concerning the unconstitutionality of mandatory vaccination imposed, in this specific case, on the medical staff. But the explanatory statements affect mandatory vaccination in its entirety. According to the Court of Administrative Justice of Sicily, mandatory vaccination is unconstitutional. This 53-page ruling will surely put pressure on the Constitutional Court. The latter will not be able to preserve the mandatory vaccination and the Government's stances, unless it makes a politicized ruling. They already acted upon it, nominating Giuliano Amato, a politician, as president of the Constitutional Court. Frankly, they couldn't have provided better explanatory statements. A very interesting part is the data from Eudravigilance, which is the pharmacovigilance database of the European Union, whose data are really shocking. The ruling says: "The data which have been collected by the European database reveal that by the end of January 2022, 570 million Pfizer doses had been administered within the EU, so far. In relation to them, 582,000 cases of adverse effects were reported, 7,000 of which had a fatal outcome." That was Pfizer. As for AstraZeneca, "among 69 million doses, 244,000 cases of adverse effects were reported, 1,447 of which had a fatal outcome." Then they mention Moderna, too. 139 million doses, 150,000 adverse reactions, 834 of which had a fatal outcome. Then Janssen. 19 million doses, 40,766 cases reported, 279 of which were fatal. "Most of the aforementioned side effects are undoubtedly mild. But among those effects, there are also serious pathologies which in some cases are likely to compromise the vaccinated patient's health irreversibly," and that is exactly the point. Causing disability, or in the most unfortunate cases, death. So therefore it is doubtful whether such medical products, whose data about the adverse reactions are being collected, fulfill the aforementioned constitutional requirement." And then they wrote nine spectacular lines. And I wonder what Giuliano Amato will write about those lines. Since he is a politicized person, and in line with the Government's stance. "It is true that the cases of serious adverse effects turn out to be a small portion." Please, listen to this: "nevertheless, the criterion set by the Constitutional Court," "The criterion set by the Constitutional Court for compulsory medical treatments to be enforced is not likely to include a quantitative evaluation. So the lawfulness of a mandatory vaccination is to be excluded if it uses products whose effects on the vaccinated patients' health exceed the threshold of normal tolerability. Which cannot include the risk of serious or fatal adverse effects, even if these are small portion in relation to the vaccinated population." So, even if they are few, one fatal effect suffices to make this inadmissible. "And even in the case we accept the risk of — albeit rare — fatal adverse effects, this criterion would have sensitive ethical implications." For instance, who would determine the percentage of disposable citizens? It is indisputable. Otherwise it results in Nazism. It is indisputable. If the Constitutional Court rules against this judgment, it means its members are servants of politics. They are politicians, not jurists. A jurist cannot but reach the same conclusion as this ruling. If the members of the court rule differently, it means they are not ignorant, of course, but surely someone extremely biased and politicized. Someone to dismiss faster than the speed of light. So we should challenge them on this matter, and on the duty to state grounds.'

Source



The Liberty Beacon Project is now expanding at a near exponential rate, and for this we are grateful and excited! But we must also be practical. For 7 years we have not asked for any donations, and have built this project with our own funds as we grew. We are now experiencing ever increasing growing pains due to the large number of websites and projects we represent. So we have just installed donation buttons on our websites and ask that you consider this when you visit them. Nothing is too small. We thank you for all your support and your considerations ... (TLB)

Comment Policy: As a privately owned web site, we reserve the right to remove comments that contain spam, advertising, vulgarity, threats of violence, racism, or personal/abusive attacks on other users. This also applies to trolling, the use of more than one alias, or just intentional mischief. Enforcement of this policy is at the discretion of this websites administrators. Repeat offenders may be blocked or permanently banned without prior warning.

Disclaimer: TLB websites contain copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of “fair use” in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, health, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than “fair use” you must request permission from the copyright owner.

Disclaimer: The information and opinions shared are for informational purposes only including, but not limited to, text, graphics, images and other material are not intended as medical advice or instruction. Nothing mentioned is intended to be a substitute for professional medical advice, diagnosis or treatment.

Share this:



- COURT OF ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE SICILY
- GIULIANO AMATO
- LAWYER MARCO MORI
- MANDATORY COVID VACCINE ITALY
- RAIR FOUNDATION

PREVIOUS ARTICLE NEXT ARTICLE

BE THE FIRST TO COMMENT

Leave a Reply

Your email address will not be published.

Comment

Name *

Email *

Website

POST COMMENT

HELP TO SUPPORT TLB PROJECT



FOLLOW ON FACEBOOK

